

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/732,123 | 12/07/2000 | Moris M. Amon | 10242 | 9609 | | |
| 23455 | 7590 07/02/2004 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY | | | VO, I | VO, HAI | | |
| P O BOX 214 BAYTOWN. | 49 , TX 77522-2149 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| , | ,, | | 1771 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004 | 1 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

| | | | | | _ (^ | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--------------|--|--|
| .• | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/732,12 | 3 | AMON, MORIS M. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Hai Vo | | 1771 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | ppears on the | cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statically received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the statu od will apply and will ute, cause the appli | nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE | nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this continuous (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 | April 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | ∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-9 and 11 is/are we Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | vithdrawn fron | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)□ | The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the | ccepted or b) he drawing(s) b ection is require | e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ol | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFI | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)□ a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life | ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rule | n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)). | tion No ed in this National S | Stage | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D | y (PTO-413) Date. | | | |
| 3) Infor | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date | 08) | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO | -152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/732,123

Art Unit: 1771

1. All the art rejections in the 01/21/2004 Office Action are maintained.

2. Applicant's amendment filed on 04/21/2004 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action (see 112 claim rejections below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support for the time exposed value of about of 6 seconds" is not found in the specification. Therefore, the time exposed value is treated as new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/732,123

Art Unit: 1771

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 1- 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Koontz (US 6,022,902) substantially as set forth in the 01/21/2004 Office Action.
- 8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koontz (US 6,022,902) as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Chung (US 5,837,365) substantially as set forth in the 01/21/2004 Office Action.

Response to Arguments

9. The art rejections have been maintained for the following reasons. The declaration is evidence that the invention is inadequately claimed. The declaration states there are many different ways, many different variables within the different ways of plasma treating a film including line speed, power, gas flow rates, and plasma pressure, etc. The claims are relying on these critical features to show distinction. However, none of the critical features is presently claimed. Therefore, the claim is incomplete with respect to the declaration. Additionally, MPEP 2113 points out it is the effects of the method steps presented that must be considered and if method steps critical to practicing the invention are missing, the scope of the claim is affected. In the instant case, the absence of the critical elements results in a claim of the same scope as the prior art. In affect, the declaration shows that the claimed invention doesn't have the properties recited. Further, it is irrelevant whether or not Koontz has the same property after the plasma treatment specified as that is a process step. With respect

Application/Control Number: 09/732,123

Art Unit: 1771

to product claims, it does not matter how quick the film will achieve the pore accessibility as presently claimed after the plasma treatment but it does matter whether the **physical properties of final products** are the same, i.e., have the volume fraction, pore accessibility, water contact angle, hydrophilic property, etc. based on the declaration, the only time Koontz fails is when it's treated via Applicant's process.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485.

Application/Control Number: 09/732,123

Art Unit: 1771

The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th, F, 7:00-4:30 and on alternating Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HV

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700